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Complicity in contraband: British American Tobacco and cigarette smuggling in Asia

J Collin, E LeGresley, R MacKenzie, S Lawrence, K Lee

Objectives: To examine the complicity of British American Tobacco (BAT) in cigarette smuggling in Asia, and to assess the centrality of illicit trade to regional corporate strategy.

Methods: Analysis of previously confidential documents from BAT’s Guildford depository. An iterative strategy combined searches based on geography, organisational structure, and key personnel, while corporate euphemisms for contraband were identified by triangulation.

Results: BAT documents demonstrate the strategic importance of smuggling across global, regional, national, and local levels. Particularly important in Asia, contraband enabled access to closed markets, created pressure for market opening, and was highly profitable. Documents demonstrate BAT’s detailed oversight of illicit trade, seeking to reconcile the conflicting demands of control and deniability.

Conclusions: BAT documents demonstrate that smuggling has been driven by corporate objectives, indicate national measures by which the problem can be addressed, and highlight the importance of a coordinated global response via WHO’s Framework Convention on Tobacco Control.

RESULTS

Tobacco smuggling and BAT documents

While there are powerful indications that other tobacco companies have been complicit in smuggling,28 the industry documents have primarily provided evidence of complicity in smuggling by BAT. This disparity reflects differences in the diverse document collections created by the Minnesota litigation, the BAT documents exhibiting distinctive characteristics. In part, this may be attributed to BAT’s late entry into the litigation and its apparent strategy of swamping the Minnesota plaintiffs with documents irrelevant to the lawsuit.29 This created a far broader document collection than might be expected given the terms of the Minnesota litigation.

Additionally, the documents from BAT often seem more candid than those from its competitors.30 This might be attributable to variations in corporate culture, a historically lower sense of vulnerability to litigation,31 or inadequate procedures for excluding sensitive material from paper records. Many of the most dramatic disclosures of tobacco industry misconduct have been obtained from BAT documents, including evidence of price fixing,32 systematic document destruction,33 and information concealment.27

This comparative candour of BAT documents is effectively illustrated via smuggling. Nonetheless, the illegality and sensitivity of such practices is reflected in apparent attempts to minimise their appearance in company records.3 The term smuggling appears very rarely within the documents, and understanding this illicit trade is reliant on deciphering a range of euphemisms or code words.

Abbreviations: BAT, British American Tobacco; DNP, duty not paid; FCTC, Framework Convention on Tobacco Control; GT, general trade; RBU, regional business unit; SUTL, Singapura United Tobacco Limited; TTCs, transnational tobacco companies; WDF, wholesale duty free
Transit
The most easily recognised references to smuggling operations are offered by the term “transit”. This unambiguously identifies contraband operations, as demonstrated by a 1989 definition discussing illicit imports in Asia:

> With regard to the definition of transit it is essentially the illegal import of brands from Hong Kong, Singapore, Japan, etc. upon which no duty has been paid.34

Transit may have been viewed as an insufficiently oblique descriptor, with some indication that the use of the term was discouraged. In 1992 then territorial director Keith Dunt noted in the margins of a document: “Must not use ‘transit’ word”.35

General trade (GT)
The phrase “General trade”, often abbreviated to “GT”, is seemingly BAT’s most frequently used euphemism for contraband operations in Asia. While its meaning is not so immediately evident, an extensive review of the documents leaves little room for ambiguity. A 1994 draft document, for example, subdivided BAT’s export business into three channels.4 “Domestic markets” identified trade “where the product is sold duty paid”.5 “Duty free” (DF) designated exports to operators of facilities allowed to exclude excise from their retail price, subject to the qualification that where “DF business penetrates into the local domestic market... DF business can be regarded as GT”.6

GT refers to exports made for onward sale to another market other than the market to where product was shipped, and where the packaging would normally be non market specific. Such products would often have substitute coding to identify the customer and therefore the intended end market.7

Though opaque, the meaning of GT becomes clear by contrast with the reference to the other channels. Its use to designate contraband is further demonstrated by juxtaposition with legal sales. For example, an account of the first duty paid shipment to Burma in May 1993 notes that “(u)ntil then, Myanmar had always been a straightforward GT market for our brands”,8 while a company plan from 1990 noted that in Taiwan “legal business has to some extent been compensated by GT sales”.9

Duty not paid (DNP)
The use of “Duty not paid” or “DNP” as synonymous with contraband is most clearly demonstrated in Latin America. In Venezuela, for example, the DNP market is defined as:

> …the volume of cigarettes produced in Venezuela, exported (mainly to Aruba) and re-entering Venezuela as transit plus transit cigarettes produced elsewhere.39

Elsewhere DNP sales are analysed separately from legal sales in both the duty paid and duty free markets,40 identifying DNP as describing contraband. Though used less frequently in documents relating to Asia, and slightly complicated by the government in Hong Kong using the phrase to refer to duty free,41 the region was a leading contributor to sales in this channel. A 1994 BAT global planning document noted:

> In 1993, it is estimated that nearly 6% of the total world cigarette sales of 5.4 trillion were DNP sales. Eastern Europe and the Asia-Pacific region (c85 bills each) accounted for the majority of this volume...42

The above categories are by no means an exhaustive list of the euphemisms used in BAT documents to describe smuggled cigarettes. The volatility and sensitivity of such practices and a need to mask their inclusion in corporate documentation have yielded a long list of comparable terms. A 1993 document described “combined exports” as the principal driver of export growth in the Asia-Pacific region, and emphasised “the sensitivity of this source of profits”;43 a 1993 review of distribution of BAT brands in China emphasised the dominance of “free market sales” and “unofficial imports”;44 a 1988 account of transit in Vietnam emphasises BAT’s need to dissociate itself from “parallel imports”;45 while “wholesale duty free” (WDF) is reportedly a more recently favoured term.7

Contraband as central to corporate objectives
Smuggling can be identified as advancing key corporate objectives across multiple levels. Globally, contraband has accounted for a critical proportion of BAT’s export sales and has played a major role in efforts to displace Philip Morris as global market leader. The 1994 review of BAT’s management of export channels, for example, set itself the mission to “maximise BAT’s share of the global export business”:46

> This will be achieved irrespective of which sub-channel of exports is employed through the consistent management worldwide of BAT’s Brand Portfolio of International brands, and where appropriate BAT’s Regional Brands, to satisfy consumer needs and achieve the corporate goals.48 (emphasis in original)

An appendix to an earlier draft of this review stated that the GT channel accounted for either 57% or 68% BAT’s global export business, depending on how trade in Hong Kong, China, Djibouti, and Ghana was classified.36

At a regional level, in 1992 Barry Bramley, then CEO of BAT, identified the further development of GT sales as part of a twin track strategy in the Far East, “consolidating our position in the growing imported segments of the domestic markets as well as building on the successful General Trade business in the region”.49 GT apparently accounted for 72% of exports for BAT’s Asia-Pacific region from 1992 to March 1994.46

Nationally, anticipated returns from smuggled cigarettes have been critical in determining operational and investment strategies for some countries. Smuggling operations were key to BAT’s broader efforts to penetrate the huge Chinese market,50 plans for Cambodia rested on its strategic value to regional contraband,51 and Laos seems to have been largely viewed in terms of its smuggling potential.52 At local level, the documents provide remarkably detailed analyses of the suitability of specific regions,53 ports,54 islands55 and border crossings53 as transit routes, and also describe detailed monitoring of the availability of smuggled brands in key cities such as Bangkok56 or Ho Chi Minh City.56

**Strategic advantages of cigarette smuggling**
This centrality is explained by a number of distinct advantages offered by successful sales of smuggled product.
While often highly context specific, the documents indicate several key attributes that explain the significance of contraband in the region.

**Entering closed markets**

A key factor in the particular importance of contraband in Asia is that several target markets were effectively closed to legitimate imports, leaving smuggling as the sole means of ensuring the availability of international brand cigarettes. In Burma part of the comparative advantage of transit seemingly lay in BAT’s inability to reliably import legal cigarettes. In the early 1990s Vietnam operated a ban on imported cigarettes, but BAT’s marketing department emphasised the strong performance of contraband BAT brands in a SWOT analysis:

**STRENGTH[S]**

1. [State Express] 555 with its high level of awareness and demand has prompted wholesalers and retailers to stock the brand despite the ban and the risk.
2. SUTL with its strong network of customers in Cambodia have been able to capitalise on the demand of the Vietnamese customer despite the ban.

Thailand’s market was also formally closed to TTCs [transnational tobacco companies] until 1990, but contraband operations enabled thriving trade. A 1988 report on a visit to Thailand estimated that “BAT’s average monthly transit volume is about 22 mn … earning a total group trading profit of the order of £1mn p.a.”

**Pressure for market opening**

Smuggling in Asia has also been used as a means of exerting political leverage to secure market opening. In Thailand, for example, exploitation of contraband was presented as part of a broader strategy to undermine Thailand’s ban on imports:

> From a range of options in this situation, BAT’s best strategy would appear to be: i) to continue to endorse the US pressure for legalization of imports, ii) to support the transit opportunities with internal promotion, and iii) to evaluate the possibility of a modest joint venture...

While the existence of substantial contraband markets served to undermine the perceived viability of import bans, complicity in illegal operations could also heighten sensitivities and become a political liability. The documents indicate increasing concern about transit as the prospect of market opening increased. A 1989 document cautions against overtly infringing Thailand’s advertising regulations, a concern reportedly shared within Philip Morris:

> The current high level of advertising for international brands which are only available through transit is a particularly prominent windmill for the proponents of this to joust at. Dollison [PM Corporate Affairs] has suggested that all companies moderate their advertising activities over this sensitive period.

Similar disquiet is expressed concerning negotiations for a Burma trading office that would facilitate legal importation and distribution, while governmental awareness of BAT’s complicity in smuggling evidently complicated negotiations for a joint venture in Vietnam.

**Undermining regulation**

The ability to undermine effective health policy has been critical to the value of smuggling to tobacco companies. Successful orchestration of increased contraband flows with media pressure to curb taxation policy has occurred in countries such as Canada, Sweden, and the UK. BAT documents provide more detailed accounts of how the reliable availability of smuggled cigarettes contributed to efforts to influence public policy. In Bangladesh, documents suggest that BAT both exerted substantial control over flows of contraband cigarettes and presented such flows to the government as proof of the need to reverse increased excise.

In Burma, following protracted negotiations between government and traders, a review of duty levels was presented as a quid pro quo for a crackdown on transit according to a regional business plan.

A variant of this approach was seen in Thailand when TTCs warned the Deputy Prime Minister that proposed tobacco control legislation would escalate smuggling. Upon the opening of the Thai market to legal imports, TTCs seemingly colluded in setting pricing at a high level, believing that readily available smuggled brands would force a reduction in tax:

> PM/RJR/RPE are advocating market entry [into Thailand] at 40 Baht in order to demonstrate that the legal business will be minimal, GT will continue and therefore revenue lost. The belief is that the Thai’s [sic] will then reduce the Duty.

Similarly, 1995 proposals in anticipation of an ingredients disclosure regulation included: “We need to be ready to pump in GT stocks in case the supply is disrupted by the Regulation.”

**Greater desirability of smuggled product**

In some contexts part of the comparative advantage of smuggled cigarettes may lie in a perceived superiority to legal brands. A BAT commissioned study in Indonesia noted a preference for contraband versions of international brands, “supposed to be ‘original from abroad’”. Focus groups of Marlboro smokers in Malaysia in 1987 reported that smoking smuggled imports gave “a feeling of ‘class’” and was preferred to locally produced Marlboro.

**Highly profitable**

The basic rationale for complicity in smuggling, of course, was that it yielded high levels of profit. The risks associated with transit were clear, but documents suggest that BAT’s corporate strategy calculated that illegality was outweighed by profitability:

> Transit trade is volatile, and disruptive to the orderly operation of markets. It is in BAT’s interest that markets are legal, taxed and controlled. However our primary responsibility is to meet consumers’ demands as profitably as possible.

While acknowledging ethical questions raised by encouraging the DNP segment, Keith Dunt outlined his own view as being “that it is part of your market and to have it exploited by others is just not acceptable”. In some contexts, contraband was operating so successfully as to question the merits of developing legitimate business. A 1988 report predicting further opening of Asian markets described the trend as posing “a threat to traditional transit sales where BAT (UK&E), in particular, have been relatively strong”. A 1994 discussion of a proposed joint
venture in Burma highlighted concerns about profitability given its potential impact on illegal GT sales:

555 is our major brand priority. Were B&H to be locally produced from Year 1 and 555 only in Years 4–5, B&H may achieve an ‘unfair’ advantage. (we may come under pressure to reduce GT).

‘The JV financials are not net of cannibalisation of GT (e.g. Lucky Strike). Clearly there will be a knock on effect to BATCo. profit’. 27

An earlier proposal to introduce duty paid imports into Burma discussed “the level of subsidy” this would require “bearing in mind costs as against GT”. 28 In Taiwan legal sales of 555 are similarly described: “to some Taiwan has to some extent been compensated by GT sales”. 29

BAT documents suggest a broad preference for gradually reducing reliance on the volatile contraband markets in favour of more predictable legitimate business. 30 BAT’s strategy for the opening of the Thai market sought to increase “the importance of domestic end markets as against the more volatile G.T. business”:

As part of the “raison d’etre” of BATUKE it is key that returns from the volatile, albeit good margin and often GT markets are re-invested to achieve the more longer term objectives of BATUKE, i.e to establish a dominant presence in stable domestic environments with a strong brand portfolio. 79

Importantly, the logic of this shift does reiterate the centrality of smuggling to achieving BAT’s goals in Asia, albeit with an expectation that this would decline. Furthermore, the dominance of contraband in Thailand was remarkably persistent, accounting for an estimated 60% of total volume in 1994. 80

Managing a risky business

The BAT documents demonstrate the complexity and diversity of contraband operations across Asia, highlighting the fact that smuggling in the region has not been synonymous with or entirely controlled by BAT. The documents indicate, for example, that competitors’ brands were also smuggled, 81 82 and in Malaysia the extensive availability of smuggled kreteks constituted unwelcome competition. 83 There are also indications of a lack of cohesion across BAT’s operating companies, 84 85 while the illicit nature of the business meant that information was often imperfect and other parties could be unreliable. 86 87

Notwithstanding such qualifications, the documents indicate that BAT went to great lengths to ensure that contraband operations fulfilled corporate objectives.

Awareness and involvement of senior personnel

The significance of contraband operations for BAT meant that awareness and involvement reached the highest levels of the organisation. 88 Among issues for discussion by Sir Patrick Sheehy and Ulrich Herter, then respectively chairman and managing director of BAT Industries, during a visit to the region in 1994 was clarification of management responsibility for transit. 40 In a March 1994 memo, Paul Adams, then regional manager and now BAT’s chief executive, clarified that primary responsibility for GT business lay with his regional business unit (RBU):

All GT business in Asia Pacific should now be handled by the RBU. Can we please check with [B&W vice president]

Tom Whitehair that we are now handling all GT and that therefore they are not using SUTL for any GT business. 30

Specific responsibility for managing smuggling operations in Asia was apparently delegated to Patrick O’Keeffe, regional exports manager. The job description for this post again emphasises BAT’s detailed oversight of contraband operations:

(A)s the Coordinator of GT sales world-wide, his responsibilities include: …agreeing [to] the proposed price structure of all major orders to the General Trade… Maintenance of profiles of all main dealers, and monitoring of supply routes… [and] Proactive search for new GT business. 19

The requirement to identify new contraband business again illustrates that BAT’s involvement far exceeded merely monitoring illicit sales. O’Keeffe (PCOK) sought to arrange pricing in Vietnam of imports of State Express 555 smuggled from Singapore in Vietnam so as not to undermine the launch of a locally produced version:

PCOK explained that BAT wanted SUTL to ensure a retail price of 11 000 Dong on 555 SDNP [Singapore Duty Not Paid] in HCM [Ho Chi Minh City] to allow 555 MIV [Made in Vietnam] an opportunity to establish itself at 10 000 Dong. 52

Singapura United Tobacco Limited (SUTL)

This indirect intervention in smuggled markets via SUTL (Singapura United Tobacco Limited) represents the principal method by which BAT sought to control contraband across Asia. SUTL was a long time distribution partner of BAT, and did handle legal sales of BAT products, but documents demonstrate that SUTL was also effectively granted a licence to oversee smuggling on BAT’s behalf throughout much of Asia. 41 The mission statement for SUTL’s distribution strategy 1995–99 was defined as:

To maximise BAT’s market and profit shares of the South-East Asia/ Indian Sub-Continent export business through the most efficient distribution of international and regional brands, irrespective of sub-channel (Domestic, Duty Free, GT) to our customers. 89

In response to questioning by the Health Select Committee, Deputy Chairman Ken Clarke insisted that SUTL was “a perfectly legitimate wholesaler” and that, beyond serving as “our Singapore wholesaler… it is not controlled by BAT”. 24

By contrast, the corporate documents indicate substantial awareness of SUTL’s role in smuggling, even assessing the proportion of time spent on GT business by key SUTL personnel. 46 More significantly, the documents extensively demonstrate that contraband operations by SUTL occurred under the direction of BAT.

Direction and control

This is evident in encouragement from BAT for SUTL to expand smuggling or identify new routes. Minutes from a 1991 meeting with SUTL record senior BAT executive Anthony Pereira asking “how we were progressing with efforts to increase transit”. 54 Similarly, a 1993 Singapore meeting with SUTL discussed imports to China, noting that enquiries for legal duty paid sales would be handled by BAT China whereas “SUTL are encouraged to expand overland routes through Indochina”. 47 The control exerted by BAT is evident in Bangladesh, 10 a 1993 memo noting that “(p)ricing
of transit brands are to be advised by IBG", the International Brand Group at UK headquarters, while BAT were also apparently able to intervene to amend contraband flows. The close relationship is also demonstrated by Alec Stuart joining SUTL as General Manager in 1992, having previously held BAT managerial positions in Malaysia, Singapore, and Bangladesh.

The documents also indicate persistent efforts to increase BAT's control over contraband business. A 1987 meeting described “improving our knowledge of the transit end markets and taking more control of the business”. An internal restructuring was proposed in 1988 to create an organisation capable of building legal sales in emerging markets whilst supervising and controlling existing transit business. The 1994 review of export channel management preferred “exclusivity of supply arrangements via one distributor so as to facilitate maximum control”, highlighting the need to “control pricing as the key variable in managing in a co-ordinated and consistent fashion all the sub-channels of Exports”.

Running legal business to support contraband

BAT's capacity to exploit contraband flows was enhanced by the seemingly widespread practice of coordinating operations across legal and illegal channels. In several markets a small legal operation was established to provide protective cover for smuggled sales, hence the term “umbrella operations”. A token legal presence enabled marketing and promotional activities to be undertaken in support of contraband. The first duty paid shipment to Burma was expected to “give a legal coverage for our marketing activities”, while in Laos a “legal base would be established to enable supply” for contraband from Cambodia.

In other contexts the dividing line between legal and illegal business could be effectively blurred: “legal imports could hide large scale transit activity”. Documents demonstrate that ostensibly legitimate duty free sales have provided an effective means of supplying smuggled cigarettes. A 1994 monthly review for Thailand noted that supply of transit cigarettes was good in most areas while “in Bangkok leakage of duty-free stocks is high”. In 1991 one of three main methods of reaching the market in Burma was described as being “Partial Duty Paid”:

- **Partial Duty Paid—Product arrives at ports less rigidly policed**.
- Duty is, therefore, paid on some of the amount imported. This will vary according to the compliance of the customs personnel—the usual point of entry being Moulmein.

A 1994 assessment of competitors’ contraband in the Philippines noted the significance of duty free sales as an enabling factor. Alongside organised transit via Malaysia and Indonesia the report identified “personal transit into ‘free markets’ ex official duty free retail outlets” and “leakage of product in transit for re-export”. It was recommended that BAT should focus on duty free shops as “the main semi-legitimate distribution method open to us for penetrating the free markets”:

- **BATCo should concentrate on developing visibility and volume through the duty free shops, to attract personal transit volume into certain key free markets like Chinatown for SE555. To a degree this is already being achieved...**

Consideration could be, however, given to some POS [point of sale]/consumer promotional activity in this area, providing legality issues could be addressed eg advertising of consumer promotions at duty free outlets.

The sale of duty free cigarettes by international hotels in India provided “legal cover for promotions and POS [point of sale] displays”. A 1992 document indicates that this seemingly marginal channel provided for substantial leakage into domestic sales:

- **Of the 70 millions shipped into India last year, I am informed that around 10–20% is sold in the duty free outlets to travellers while the remainder seeps out into the local market.”**

In East Asia the purchase of confiscated contraband at customs auctions also provided “a shelter for subsequent purchases from other sources”.

Managing sensitivity, ensuring deniability

The documents demonstrate widespread awareness among senior BAT personnel of the questionable nature of key export operations, the risks associated with such involvement, and the problems posed for relations with governments. The strategic challenge for BAT was to maintain both careful management of illicit trade and sufficient separation from it to ensure deniability. This balancing act is evident in BAT's relations with SUTL, and became more difficult to maintain in the context of joint ventures or market opening.

BAT's 1993 Asia Pacific Review noted that long term cooperation with SUTL in both legal and illicit trade ran the risk of “unacceptably high exposure” for BAT, and it was deemed “[n]ot prudent to maintain GT trading links with SUTL and to accept as partner in any of the proposed joint ventures”. In 1993 Fred Combe of BATUKE Singapore similarly stated that, in Cambodia, Laos, and Myanmar, BAT would only formalise agreements with “bona-fide duty paid distribution companies... due to General Trade sensitivities”.

Arguably the most explicit statement of BAT's approach to handling contraband operations is provided by a 1988 document discussing Vietnam. This establishes a clear rationale for balancing direction and deniability:

**Transit: the nature of this business brings paradoxical requirements of an arm's length approach and close supervision. Where BAT has legitimate interests in the end markets it must be able to dissociate itself from direct involvement in parallel imports. Nevertheless, indiscriminate sourcing can and does lead to potentially embarrassing problems. This conflict can be resolved by maintaining close control over the accredited export agent in the home market, backed up market intelligence garnered from end market visits...”**

**DISCUSSION**

Addressing the Global Congress on Combating Counterfeiting in May 2004, BAT's new chief executive Paul Adams observed that “tax revenues are essential to Government services, and this is particularly so in developing countries where economic development and growth are strongly linked to it”. By contrast, the documents presented above demonstrate how BAT's corporate strategy for Asia relied on illicit trade that systematically undermines such revenues. While there is much that remains unknown about tobacco smuggling, these documents provide a powerful resource for the development of effective policy responses.

Despite the daunting scale of the problem outlined in the documents, the analysis leaves scope for optimism that it can be effectively addressed. The abolition of duty free sales, for example, would remove a key facilitator of contraband flows...
What this paper adds

Asia is the key target region in the industry’s global expansion. This paper offers the first comprehensive regional analysis of corporate documents focused on smuggling, highlighting how BAT sought to direct this illicit trade and its critical role in corporate strategy.

via “leakage” into domestic sales and its protective coverage for promotion of illicit sales.

Abandoning the practice of reselling confiscated stocks of smuggled cigarettes11 14 would remove the possibility of traders profiting from tighter enforcement. Importantly, the documents demonstrate that improved enforcement can effectively curtail the trade in contraband. In Thailand, an increased security presence at border crossings with Burma15 and Malaysia16 reportedly curtailed flows of transit stock, while the comparatively closed and secretive nature of the Thai transit market made it more difficult to operate successfully.17 A similar point is made by contrary examples where complicity of government officials and agencies has facilitated contraband.12 19 20

A striking feature of the above documents is the extent to which smuggling has been strategically driven to advance BAT’s corporate objectives, in stark contrast to public denials of complicity.20–22 This heightens the need for political will which smuggling has been strategically driven to advance. This commitment to tackling contraband within the FCTC is an important first step.118 119 However, the limited success of measures to date reflects the entrenched nature of complicity.20–22 This heightens the need for political will which smuggling has been strategically driven to advance.

Further encouragement is provided by the inclusion of a commitment to tackling contraband within the FCTC. Contraband is an inherently transnational problem that requires a coordinated global response, and its inclusion within the FCTC is an important first step.16 17 However, the complexity and scale of cigarette smuggling requires a more detailed and enforceable policy regimen. Specific suggestions include cessation of duty free sales as is the case within the EU; licensing of manufacturers and other parties involved in the distribution process; chain of custody markings for exported cigarettes; and stiffer penalties for those caught smuggling.23 There is also a clear need for ratification of the FCTC to be rapidly followed by progress towards a specific protocol to counter the illicit trade in tobacco products.
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